

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,303	11/27/2001	Robert C. Beck	2446	1374	
Beck & Tysve	7590 01/03/200 r P L L C	7	2446 EXAMINER DESANTO, MATTHE ART UNIT PA 3763	IINER	
2900 Thomas Avenue S., Suite 100			2446 1374 EXAMINER DESANTO, MATTHEW F ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3763 DELIVERY MODE	DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
Minneapolis, N	MN 55416		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			_		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	01/03/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/995,303	BECK, ROBERT C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
1	Matthew F. DeSanto	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	0 October 2006					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	,	·				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7-9,18-24 and 27-30 is/are pendir	ng in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	• ,,					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>7-9,18-24 and 27-30</u> is/are rejected	ed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers	·	·				
<u> </u>	ninor					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		by the Everiner				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • •		(4)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(u).			
The bath of declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	priority documents have beer					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The 112 rejections have been overcome by amendment to clarify that the wall is part of the intervention device.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 7-9, 18-24, 27-30 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 7, in the 6th paragraph "said wall" has a lack of antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The first time the structure of the wall is positively recited, the proper term should be "a wall" instead of "said wall" which is the case in claim 7.
- 3. Claim 27 also has a lack of antecedent basis for the "said wall" limitation in the claim. The first time the structure of the wall is positively recited, the proper term should be "a wall" instead of "said wall."
- . Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303

Art Unit: 3763

5. Claims 7-9, 18-24, 27- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nash et al. (USPN 6,524,323).

Nash et al. discloses inserting and advancing a sheath having a discharge lumen to a location in the vessel said delivery sheath discharge lumen coupled to a collection vessel, inserting and advancing an interventional device to a treatment location, said interventional device of type having; a therapy balloon for delivering treatment, and a gap for introducing a primary fluid flow in said vessel, said gap located distal of said therapy balloon; injecting fluid out of said gap to promote retrograde flow into said discharge lumen. (Figures 10, 11, 16, 17; Column 26, line 52 – Column 29, line 40 and entire reference)

As to claim 18, wherein said injection is carried out while moving said interventional device in said vessel with respect to said delivery sheath.

As to claim 9, wherein said fluid is injection at a first injection pressure above the blood pressure in the vessel and the injection fluid drops to a second exhaust pressure in said delivery catheter where said exhaust pressure is above said blood pressure, establishing a pressure gradient in said discharge lumen and promoting flow from said gap to said discharge lumen.

As to claim 27, wherein said primary fluid is supplied by a supply syringe chamber and said discharge lumen is coupled to syringe vacuum chamber, and said supply syringe and vacuum syringe are operated together to couple fluid supply with discharge lumen collection.

6. Claims 7-9, 18-24, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell et al. (USPN 5100425).

Fischell et al. discloses inserting and advancing a sheath having a discharge lumen to a location in the vessel said delivery sheath discharge lumen coupled to a collection vessel, inserting and advancing an interventional device to a treatment location, said interventional device of type having; a therapy balloon for delivering treatment, and a gap for introducing a primary fluid flow in said vessel, said gap located distal of said therapy balloon; injecting fluid out of said gap to promote retrograde flow into said discharge lumen.

Fischell et al. also discloses an infusion means and a discharge means.

(Column 5, line 62- Column 6, line 15)

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments dated 10/10/06 with respect to the claims have been considered but are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that the catheter of Nash cannot deploy a stent. The examiner disagrees with the interpretation of Nash. There is no specific structure or specific method step for deploying a stent, which can be found in the claims. Therefore the catheter of Nash, needs only to be capable of carrying a stent, which the examiner determines from reading through the prior art as well as knowing the possibility of medical devices in the medical art.
- 9. With regards to Fischell et al. the examiner has the same interpretation as Nash.

 Since no specific structure is claimed or any specific method step, the examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303

Art Unit: 3763

interprets that the catheter needs to only be capable of performing the function.

Therefore, the examiner maintains his rejections.

10. In order to expedite prosecution, the examiner suggests positively reciting a step of deploying a stent, so that the 102 Rejections can be overcome.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,303

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 December 22, 2006

Mette all

Mul